Peer-to-Peer Portfolio Critique

| Criteria | Ratings | | | Points |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | |
| Professional Formatting | Extremely well- organized, with a clear structure. | Adequately organized, with a discernible structure. | Lacks a clear structure and is disorganized. | |
| COMMENTS | | | | |
| | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | |
| Use Case Description | Project descriptions are clear, detailed, and effectively communicate the project description, person's role, and outcome desired. | Adequate but lack some detail or clarity regarding the project description, person's role, and outcome desired. | Minimal or absent and do not effectively convey the project description, person's role, and outcome desired. | |
| COMMENTS | | | | |
| | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | |
| Storytelling | A clear and well- organized story structure with a logical flow and transitions. | Generally organized story structure and logical flow, but some transitions need to be smoother and clearer. | Lacks a clear story and logical flow with transitions, making it difficult to follow. | |
| COMMENTS | | | | |
| | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | |
| Key Artifacts | The portfolio includes a diverse selection of artifacts that effectively showcase the project, skills, and experiences. | The portfolio has artifacts, but improvements could be made to showcase the person's skills and experiences. | The portfolio is missing critical artifacts, making it impossible to evaluate the person's skills and experiences. | |
| COMMENTS | | | | |

| | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Design Process & Decision Making COMMENTS | Demonstrates a solid understanding of the design process, outlining the key steps in each project, and what decisions were made. | Demonstrates a basic understanding of the design process, but some steps and/or decisions may be unclear or overlooked. | Demonstrates minimal design process and decisions were not articulated to follow the project outcomes. | | | |
| | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | | | |
| Overall impression & Presentment of the portfolio | The presentation left a positive overall impression, presenting the person's work product, skills, and capabilities as a UX professional. | The presentation leaves a generally favorable impression, but there are areas for improvement in showcasing the use case material and presenting their skills and capabilities effectively as a UX professional. | The presentment leaves an overall impression of neutral or negative, and improvements are needed to the use case materials and presenting their skills and capabilities need to be communicated more effectively. | | | |
| COMMENTS | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | |